

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/103,355	06/23/1998	PETER J. KUSHNER	2307O-080510	2899
22798	7590 03/23/2004		EXAMINER	
QUINE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, P.C.			PAK, MICHAEL D	
	P O BOX 458 ALAMEDA, CA 94501		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1646	
			DATE MAILED: 03/23/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/103,355	KUSHNER ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Michael Pak	1646		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 De				
	action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4:	03 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.			
,	epted or b) objected to by the			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct				
,—	Carrinies. 140to tile attached Cine	, , , didn' di Tolli i Tolli i Tolli i		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document)-(d) or (f).		
2. Certified copies of the priority document				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio		ed in this National Stage		
application from the International Bureau		nd.		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies flot receive	ou.		
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary			
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	· -	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)			

Application/Control Number: 09/103,355

Art Unit: 1646

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on December 11, 2003 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

- 2. Amendment filed November 17, 2003 has been entered.
- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Applicant's arguments filed 17 November 2003, have been fully considered but they are not found persuasive.
- 5. The Declaration of Thomas Scanlan under 37 CFR 1.132 filed November 17, 2003 is sufficient to overcome the rejection of claim1-13 based upon 35 USC 112, second paragraph.

Art Unit: 1646

6. The Declaration of Thomas Scanlan under 37 CFR 1.132 filed November 17, 2003 is insufficient to overcome the rejection of claim 1-13 based upon 35 USC 112 paragraph one as set forth in the last Office action for the reasons set forth in the rejections below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, first paragraph

7. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. This is a new matter rejection.

The specification does not provide support for the term "an additional member of the nuclear transcription factor superfamily" and thus it new matter. The original claims encompassed the generic claims which did not subgenerically limit to additional members. The subgeneric concept is not disclosed in the specification.

8. Claims 1-5 and 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

This is a written description rejection.

The reason for the rejection has been set forth in the previous office actions.

Application/Control Number: 09/103,355

N. 4. 1. 1. 1. 4.0.40

Art Unit: 1646

Applicants argue that widespread public accessibility of the receptors, the structure is not required in the claims. However, the large genus of receptors used in the method claimed cannot be envisioned by one of skilled in the art. *Lilly* specifically prohibits the claiming the large genus whose structure cannot be envisioned.

9. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the claimed methods with specific species of estrogen receptor and Markush group of cognate receptors in claim 7 which are functional, but does not reasonably provide enablement for the claimed method using cognate receptors or estrogen receptor or Markush group of cognate receptors in claim 7 which are not functional. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The reason for the rejection has been set forth in the previous office action.

Applicants argue that orphan receptors are not non-functional but the ligands are not known. Examiner agrees that orphans receptors may be determined to have a specific function when the ligand is discovered. However, until the ligand is known the determination of the function of the receptor is not known and requires undue experimentation to determine the function of the receptor.

Applicants argue that it is routine to experiment with the receptor in reference to the Decalration of Thomas Scanlan. However, without the ligand for the orphan

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/103,355

Art Unit: 1646

receptors the empirical experimentation is required and it is uncertain when the ligand will be discovered for the orphan receptor if at all.

No claims are allowed.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Pak whose telephone number is 571-272-0879.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am - 2:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler can be reached on 571-272-0871. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-0507.

Michael Pak

Primary Examiner

Hickorl D. My

Art Unit 1646

18 march 2004